THERMOECONOMICS

A Thermodynamic Approach to Economics

John Bryant

Third Edition

Electronic Version Chapter 3

© VOCAT International Ltd 2012

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by

VOCAT International Ltd
10 Falconers Field
Harpenden
Herts
AL5 3ES
UK

Third Edition

ISBN 978-0-9562975-3-2

The electronic versions of the book chapters are made available free on the website www.vocat.co.uk for personal and educational use only by interested readers. While the author has made every effort to be diligent and accurate in his researches, he cannot be held responsible for the use that readers may make of his work, and they should satisfy themselves as to logic and veracity of the conclusions drawn.

Citation for the book:

John Bryant. *'Thermoeconomics – A thermodynamic approach to economics'*, VOCAT International Ltd, 2011. ISBN 978-0-9562975-3-2

Contents

Preface

1 Introduction 1
1.1 Historical Research 2
1.2 Economics and the Ideal Gas 6

2 Stock and Flow Processes 17
2.1 General Stock Model 19
2.2 Monetary Stock Model 31
2.3 Labour Sector 34
2.4 Stocks of Economic Output 40
2.5 Resource Stocks 46
2.6 Environment Waste Stocks 48

3 Thermodynamic Principles 50
3.1 First Law of Thermodynamics 50
3.2 Second Law of Thermodynamics 59
3.3 Constant Volume Process 63
3.4 Constant Price Process 67
3.5 Iso-trading Process 69
3.6 Polytropic Process 71
3.7 Isentropic Process 74
3.8 Process Entropy 76
3.9 Thermodynamics & Utility 81

4 Production and Entropy Processes 88
4.1 A Simple Production System 89
4.2 Reaction Kinetics 104
4.3 Entropy and Maximisation 108
4.4 The Cycle 113

5 Money 118
5.1 Development & Elasticity 121
5.2 Money Entropy 133
5.3 Money Entropy & Interest Rates 140

6 Labour and Unemployment 151

7 Investment and Economic Entropy 160
7.1 Project investment and discounted Cash Flow 161
7.2 Annuities 164
7.3 Bonds and Gilt-Edged Securities 165

8 Energy, Resources and the Economy 178
8.1 Energy and the Economy 178
8.2 Energy Capital stock 180
8.3 Economic Output 182
8.4 Non-Renewable Energy 183
8.5 Energy Resource Substitution 191
8.6 Thermo-Economic Considerations 193
8.7 Population 198
8.8 Climate Change 200

9 *Thermoeconomics and Sustainability* 210

References 215

Data Sources 221

List of Symbols 222

Index 223

Preface

This book, first published in 2009, stems from research that I began more than three decades ago when I was then working as group economist for the Babcock International Group. Prior to that, my formal university education had included degrees in engineering and management science – the latter in particular covering economics and operations research. What started out as a train of curiosity into parallels between the disciplines of economics and thermodynamics soon developed into something deeper.

Following publication of two peer-reviewed papers of mine on the subject in the journal *Energy Economics*, I was greatly encouraged in my research by other trans-disciplinary researchers with a similar interest, in particular, Dr László Kapolyi, who was then Minister for Industry of the Hungarian government, a member of the Hungarian Academy of Science and a member of the Club of Rome.

Not being based at a university and with no research grant at my disposal, my main thrust at that time had been to make a career as director of a consultancy and expert witness business and therefore, until more recently, opportunities to spend time on research had been few. Nevertheless, by the turn of the millennium I was able to find time alongside my consultancy to return to some research, and in 2007 published another peer-reviewed paper in the *International Journal of Exergy* entitled 'A Thermodynamic Theory of Economics', which was followed up with several working papers on monetary aspects and energy models. Interest in this work has been high, spurred on no doubt by general worldwide interest in energy and climate change.

This book and third edition is an attempt to bring together all the facets of the research into a coherent whole. Topics covered include the gas laws, the distribution of income, the 1st and 2nd Laws of Thermodynamics applied to economics, economic processes and elasticity, entropy and utility, production and consumption processes, reaction kinetics, empirical monetary analysis of the UK and USA economies, interest rates, discounted cash flow, bond yield and spread, unemployment, principles of entropy maximization and economic development, the cycle, empirical analysis of the relationship between world energy resources, climate change and economic output, and last aspects of sustainability.

Further developments have been added since the first and second editions, in particular, thoughts on production and entropy maximisation, order and disorder and relationships to the living world, which has necessitated re-organisation of some of the chapters. The chapter on money has been updated to incorporate empirical analyses of the recent upheavals in world economic activity from 2008 to 2011, though the conclusions reached have not changed, indeed, they have been reinforced.

The findings, interpretations and conclusions of this book are entirely those of my own, based on the research that I have conducted. While I have made every effort to be diligent and accurate, readers should satisfy themselves as to logic and veracity of the conclusions drawn. I hope that this third edition represents an improvement and advancement on earlier editions, but would welcome nevertheless any feedback, discussions and corrections on points that readers may have.

I am indebted to my wife Alison for all her support and for providing an atmosphere conducive to my research.

John Bryant

CHAPTER 3 THERMODYNAMIC PRINCIPLES

In this chapter we set out the First and Second Laws of Thermodynamics, which are fundamental in the world of physics, and we examine the dynamics of the main processes encountered as applied to economic systems. Our initial investigations will centre on a single stock and flows immediately in and out of it, where the productive content **k** of a good remains constant, that is, it does not in any way change in shape, form, what it is made of and any other physical attributes it may have as it enters the stock, remains there or subsequently leaves it. A jelly-bean of defined size, colour and taste remains just that, though its value in economic terms can of course go up or down. Our stock can be large or small (as in 'just-in-time processes), but the principles applying will still be the same. However, if we were to expand our analysis to include a number of stocks connected via production / consumption processes, then we would encounter both multiple values of productive content **k**, and unknown efficiency losses occurring in the production and consumption processes, which would both complicate the analysis and place restrictions on the results. The essential objective first is to set out the principles. Chapter 4 deals with production and consumption processes.

3.1 The First Law of Thermodynamics

The First Law of Thermodynamics is concerned with the principle of conservation of energy applied to systems that undergo changes of state due to transfers of heat and work across a system boundary. The First Law cannot be proved; its validity rests upon the fact that it has never been contradicted by experience. It states: *"When a closed system is taken through a cycle, the net work delivered to the surroundings is proportional to the net heat taken from the surroundings"*. For a *non-flow* gas system where equation (1.1) **PV=NkT** applies, the First Law is generally stated as:

$$Q - W = (U_2 - U_1) \tag{3.1}$$

Where **Q** is the heat passing across the boundary of the system, **W** is the work done or consumed, and $\mathbf{(U_2 - U_1)}$ is the change in internal energy arising between states 1 and 2. Imagine some gas held in a cylinder by a piston, as in figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1 Illustration of First Law

If a force **W** is applied to the piston, the gas is compressed, reducing the volume **V** of the cylinder and raising the pressure **P.** The temperature **T** of the gas goes up, with the molecules moving around faster. The gas has therefore accumulated some internal energy ($\mathbf{U_2 - U_1}$). Likewise, imagine the piston locked to the cylinder with a pin, holding the volume of the gas constant. Some heat **Q** from outside is applied to the cylinder. The gas gets hot, with the molecules of gas moving around faster, accumulating some internal energy ($\mathbf{U_2 - U_1}$). The increased energy of the molecules results in an increased pressure **P** on the cylinder wall and the piston head. Equation (3.1) for the First Law applies.

Similar to equation (3.1), on a unit mass or molecule basis, as in equation (1.2) **Pv=kT**, the First Law for a *non-flow* process is generally expressed as:

$$Q - W = (u_2 - u_1) \tag{3.2}$$

With the lower case letter **u** representing unit mass or molecule internal energy change, being generally referred to as the specific internal energy, similar to the concept of specific volume **v**.

Similar derivations for equations (3.1) and (3.2) can be set out for a thermodynamic *flow* system, though additional components of kinetic energy (the speed of flow of a mass or number of molecules along a pipe or similar), potential energy (energy by virtue of height, for example as in the height of a column of water in a dam) and an extension of internal energy to enthalpy (a wider definition of energy content) are added.

In thermodynamics it is common to consider two process types, reversible and irreversible. In a reversible process, the process is imagined to pass through a continuous series of infinitesimal equilibrium states, such that equation (3.1) can be written in a differential form:

$$dQ - dW = dU \tag{3.3}$$

Where incremental work done **dW** is equal to pressure **P** multiplied by the incremental change in volume **dV**.

$$dW = PdV \tag{3.4}$$

The work done for a reversible process can then be found by summing up all the increments of work. Thus:

$$W = \int_1^2 PdV \tag{3.5}$$

In a reversible process, it is possible for the process to be gradually unwound back through the infinitesimal states to the original position, such that the pressure and volume return to their original values, and the quantities of work done are reversed. Thus for a reversible process the First Law is stated as:

$$dQ - PdV = dU \tag{3.6}$$

Or in unit mass/molecule terms:

$$dQ - Pdv = du \tag{3.7}$$

where **du** is the incremental change in specific internal energy.

The reversible process therefore is one that cannot be improved upon in thermodynamic terms, as it can be brought back to the starting point without loss. Figure 3.2 illustrates a reversible process.



Figure 3.2 Reversible Thermodynamic Process

In an irreversible thermodynamic process, however, a complete return to the starting point would not be possible, and there would always be a difference in one of pressure or volume, if the other was returned to its original position, and there would be a net loss of potential work. In such a case the First Law of Thermodynamics is expressed as in equations (3.1) and (3.2). Figure 3.3 illustrates an irreversible thermodynamic process, in this case a loss of volume.



Figure 3.3 Irreversible Thermodynamic Process

For an irreversible *thermodynamic* process incremental Work Done **dW** is therefore in general less than that for a reversible process. Thus:

$$dW \leq PdV \tag{3.8}$$

Turning now to our economic system, a similar formulation to the First Law of Thermodynamics can be postulated, though, as pointed out in chapter 1, economic systems have elements of both flow and non-flow processes. We imagine a stock of a good which is fed at one end by work input value of the same good (being a function of price **P** multiplied by volume flow **V** per unit of time), with a similar work output value of the good coming out the other end of the stock, as in figure 3.4.



Figure 3.4 An Economic Stock

For a trader, the price of a unit leaving the stock will generally be larger than that entering it, by virtue of a profit margin, but we will not complicate matters at this point.

We imagine four events that can occur to the stock.

First, some additional or reduced *Work Done* **W** per unit of time can occur, being defined as a *change* in work value per unit of time entering and leaving the stock, by virtue of a change in volume flow of the *particular* good, *and no other good*. Thus volume flow per unit of time entering and subsequently leaving the stock could rise or fall, to meet equivalent changes in both supply and demand.

For a reversible process, incremental Work Done per unit of time would be written as **dW = PdV**, as in equation (3.4); or **Pdv** if analysing on a basis of specific volume rate **v**, as per equation (1.7). For an irreversible process, incremental Work Done **dW** would be expressed as **dW ≤ PdV**, and in unit stock terms **dW ≤ Pdv**, the same formats as in equation (3.8).

The concept of reversible and irreversible thermodynamic processes is not readily understood in economics. An economist might argue that by definition one cannot 'undo' a production process proceeding from a set of

inputs to a set of outputs, at least not easily, and likely at a significant cost. One cannot 'undo' a loaf of bread.



Figure 3.5 Reversible and Irreversible Economic Processes

It can be argued, however, that some degree of reversibility is achieved through the economic cycle. Thus consumption of producer capital stock in a process results in output which is circulated through the system in return for sales turnover, a part of which consists of profit which can then be used to purchase new capital stock (which has been produced elsewhere) to replace the capital stock used up. As already noted in chapter 2, this process is not a perfect one and losses will always occur. Nevertheless, the reversible process might be viewed as representing an ideal path, albeit not achieved in practice.

The second event that can occur is that value **Q** per unit of time can be put into or taken out of the system, which is *not* represented by a change in volume flow of the productive content *of the particular good*. Examples of value **Q** include a scarcity or abundance of the particular good engendered by a change in demand compared to the available supply, new money coming into the system, or the consumption of the productive content of *another different good*, some of the value of which can then be *added or transferred* to the particular good via a production process. Value **Q** therefore does *not* represent volume of throughput of the particular good gained or lost. We shall call this the *Entropic Value* added or taken out.

The third event that may occur is a change in the economic internal energy **U** of the stock, which we will call the *'Internal Value'* **U**. There is a difference between the internal value **U** and the stock productive content **Nk**. The latter is set by reference to the number of units **N** in the stock, and the *non-variable* productive content **k** of each unit. The internal value **U**, on the other hand, is a *variable* value by reference to the value of trading entering and leaving the stock per unit of time.

Imagine a trader with a stock of fashion clothes. The stock has a productive content **Nk** by virtue of the materials from which it is made, and is not a function of price. The trader is in the business of buying and selling such stock. In a good year demand is brisk and he may be able to charge higher prices for his stock. The internal value **U** of the stock is therefore perceived to go up, in tandem with the stock index of trading value **T**, even though the clothes have not changed in shape or form. If, half way through his trading year, demand suddenly collapses, the trader may be forced to sell his stock at much lower prices, and not make so many sales. The perceived internal value **U** of the stock therefore goes down.

The internal value **U** of an economic system is therefore a function of the index of trading value **T**, just as internal energy **U** in a thermodynamic system is a function of temperature **T**. The index of trading value **T,** in an economic sense, is a measure of *both* the speed at which economic stocks are being turned over *and* the relative value level (the price) being turned over.

We could further simplify the analysis by considering only a unit of stock, and write **u** = **U/N**, being the Specific Internal Value per unit of stock. Thence accepting that a connection exists between the specific internal value **u** and the index of trading value **T,** we could write for a single unit of the stock:

$$u = f[CT] \tag{3.9}$$

Where the value **C** is some function of value or productive content. Likewise, it will be recalled from equations (2.8) and (2.21) in chapter 2 that the ideal economic equation can be written as **P(vN) = NkT**, or **Pv = kT**. Thus by substitution into equation (3.9) we have:

$$C = f\left[\frac{uk}{Pv}\right]$$

Where **P** is the price of units flowing in / out of the stock and **v** is the specific volume rate. Further, it will be recalled from equation (2.2) that the specific volume rate **v** is inversely related to the lifetime ratio ξ of a unit of stock and the standard transaction time $\mathbf{t_t}$ ($\mathbf{v = 1/\xi t_t}$, $\mathbf{t_t}$ usually a year). Thus for a standard transaction time of 1 (year) we have:

$$C = f\left[\left(\frac{u}{P}\right)\xi k\right] \tag{3.10}$$

which expresses the value **C** in terms of the ratio of the unit internal value to the trading price **(u/P)**, the lifetime ratio ξ and the productive content **k**. It will readily be appreciated that when the unit value **u** of a stock is imputed as being equal to its unit trading price **P**, then the value **C** would appear to be reduced to some function of its productive content **k** and its relative lifetime ξ in the stock. For the moment, therefore, we could express the value **C** as being equal to:

$$C = f[\xi k] \tag{3.11}$$

We will return to consideration of the value **C** in more depth after a discussion of the Second Law of Thermodynamics. Suffice to say at this point in time that the value **C** in thermodynamic terms is usually called the Specific Heat. In economic terms we will call this the *Specific Value.*

The fourth event that can occur is that the number of stock units **N** may not remain constant. For instance, industrial stock quantities tend to increase in size as production flow increases. In such cases, there is a difference between the flow of inputs and outputs to the stock, and it might be

preferable therefore to work in terms of the shortened ideal economic equation (1.5) **Pv=kT,** where **v=V/N**, or other method, to accommodate the variance.

Thus in economic terms, combining all of the above, according to the First Law of Thermodynamics, a change in the internal value $(U_2 - U_1)$ will be equal to the addition of work done/consumed **W** and entropic value **Q** entering or leaving the system, depending upon the directions of flow.

The concept of change in internal value is one that can incorporate changes in both volume flow of productive content and entropic value. The following examples are illustrative of the process.

First, we suppose that a seller has a stock of **N** units with productive content **k** each. The seller makes sales of **V** units per year at price **P**. The unit stock turnover is given by the equation **PV=NkT**, as per equation (1.3) chapter 1, where **T** is the index of trading value of the stock. We now suppose that the buyer is prepared to pay an additional amount **ΔQ** per annum for the same unit output, so as to assure his supply against other buyers. The seller is therefore richer by this additional amount and can for example raise his price **P** to (**P+ΔP**) to match the additional monies. Thus for the same input, the internal value of the seller's stock has risen by **ΔU=ΔQ**, and his index of trading value has risen to (**T+ΔT**), in similar proportion to the rise in price. Thus **ΔT/T = ΔP/P**. It will be noted in this example that no change in volume throughput has occurred.

As a second example, suppose that instead of the buyer presenting the seller with a present of **ΔQ** per annum, a donor (or a bank) lends the seller an amount **ΔQ** to do with as he pleases, so long as he keeps the money in the business. He can choose to increase his volume flow rate of stock purchases to a rate of **(V + ΔV)**, and hope to sell it onwards at the same price **P**, thus increasing his work output by **ΔW** (equals **PΔV**). The internal value of the stock rises by **ΔU**, with a rise in the index of trading value of **ΔT/T = ΔV/V**. It will be noted in this example that no change in output price has occurred.

As a third example, a manufacturer may have facilities to consume additional amounts of *another input (labour, resources etc)* which can be converted via the production process to additional throughput per unit of time of the particular good. There is thus a conversion first of productive content of inputs to value **Q** (net of efficiency losses), and from value **Q** to Work Done **W** on the particular good, accompanied by a possible change in internal value.

The essential points arising from the above development are first, that entropic value **Q,** entering a stock system of a particular good, does not represent a volume flow of productive content of the particular good; it is essentially money, the promise of money, a difference in asset values, a change in preferences, or a value arising from the consumption of *other* goods. Second, the internal value **U** of a stock of a particular good is a measure of the stock value that can be turned around at a certain rate or can be added to, and a rise in internal value can therefore occasion a rise in price, a rise in volume flow or both. Third, there is good reason to call the property **T** an index of trading value, and not just a velocity of circulation, since changes in the index can represent a change in volume flow, a change in price, or both.

It should be strongly emphasised yet again that by stating this we are *not* implying that an absolute scale of monetary 'productive content' can be constructed for a currency, in the manner of weight or energy content. Economics is very much a comparative discipline, and values of currency can and do change. Chapter 5 deals with the application of thermodynamic principles to a monetary stock.

3.2 The Second Law of Thermodynamics

There is nothing implicit in the First Law of Thermodynamics to say that some proportion of heat supplied to an engine must be rejected, and therefore that the cycle efficiency cannot be unity. All that the First Law states is that net work cannot be produced during a cycle without *some* supply of heat, i.e. that a perpetual motion machine of the first kind is impossible.

Likewise in our economic system net work output cannot be achieved without a supply of value arising from input of resources and consumption of some human effort and/or capital stock.

The Second Law of Thermodynamics however is an expression of the fact that some heat must *always* be rejected during a cycle. The law can be stated as: *"It is impossible to construct a system which will operate in a cycle, extract heat from a reservoir, and do an equivalent amount of work on the surroundings.*" There is always some heat left over that cannot be converted into work output. This fact was discussed at length in chapter 2.

In economic terms the law could be stated as*: "It is impossible to construct an economic system which will operate in a cycle, extract productive content from a reservoir and do an equivalent amount of work, in terms of productive content, on the surroundings."* There is always a bit of productive content left over that cannot be incorporated into product output. Figure 2.6 in chapter 2 illustrates this point. Input value $\mathbf{Q_1}$ from resources is consumed to produce output **W**, with some waste $\mathbf{Q_2}$ left over. Thus in the cycle, the first law is stated as:

$$W = Q_1 - Q_2 \tag{3.12}$$

And the cycle efficiency is stated as:

$$\eta = \frac{Work\ Done}{Value\ Supplied} = \frac{W}{Q_1} = \frac{Q_1 - Q_2}{Q_1}$$

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \tag{3.13}$$

The work of Ayres and Warr, referred to at chapter 2, showed that the efficiency of exergy processes relating to energy resources was of the order of 15%, with an overall loss of useful work of 85%.

However, the problem with the structure of an economic system is that it appears to defy the Second Law of Thermodynamics. This apparent non-sequitur arises because no subtraction is made for efficiency losses; everything is calculated on the basis of a valuation being placed only on the final output of each product and service. Nevertheless, despite the apparent difference between the productive content of resources and the economic value attached to them by humankind, it is reasonable to assume that the economic value added attached at each stage to final output, is distributed in proportion to the final productive content of the goods included in the economic system.

From the specific stock process set out in this section, it will be noted that although this does not involve a change in productive content **k**, the example of the fashion trader showed that changes in the three factors of work done **W**, internal value **U** and entropic value **Q** do have an impact on each other. It is also well-known in economic analysis that the inter-relationship of price to volume in a process is dependent on the

characteristics of supply and demand. It is therefore necessary to pursue thermodynamic analysis further in order to set this in context.

Now in a closed reversible thermodynamic system there exists a property **S**, such that a change in its value between two states is equal to:

$$S_2 - S_1 = \int_1^2 \left(\frac{dQ}{T} \right)_{rev} \tag{3.14}$$

Or in differential form:

$$dS = \left(\frac{dQ}{T} \right)_{rev} \quad \text{or} \quad dQ = TdS_{rev} \tag{3.15}$$

For the unit stock format **Pv=kT**, this would be written as **Tds**, using lower case.

The property **S** is called the *Entropy* of the system, and the value **dS** is the incremental change in entropy. The suffix 'rev' is added as a reminder that the relation holds only for a reversible process. The reader will readily note from the above that entropy change is a function of the entropic value change **ΔQ** added or taken away, not represented by a change in volume flow of productive content, which we discussed earlier

In thermodynamics, entropy is a property that measures the amount of energy in a physical system that cannot be used to do work. In statistical mechanics it is defined as a measure of the probability that a system would be in such a state, which is usually referred to as the "disorder" or "randomness" present in a system. Given that systems are not in general reversible then, following whatever means are applied to return a system to its starting point, the net change in cycle entropy is commonly stated as:

$$\oint \frac{dQ}{T} \geq 0 \tag{3.16}$$

Thus to repeat the Second Law; it is impossible to construct a system which will operate in a cycle, extract heat from a reservoir and do an equivalent amount of work on the surroundings. Entropy tends to rise. It is a measure of dispersed value.

Now, by combining equation (3.6) for the First law and (3.15) for the Second law and inserting the term for the incremental work done **dW** = **PdV**, we could also construct an entropy function for an economic system:

$$TdS = dU + PdV \tag{3.17}$$

And in unit stock terms **(N=1)** we can write:

$$Tds = du + Pdv \tag{3.18}$$

Equations (3.17) and (3.18) set out the general relations between the properties and, when integrated, give the change in entropy occurring between two equilibrium states for a reversible process. It should be noted that entropy change in economic terms is associated with changes in *flow* of economic value.

A series of economic processes is now examined to develop what the concepts mean in economic terms. The key relationships between price and volume flow are illustrated at figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6 Price – volume relationships

3.3 Constant Volume Process

By definition, a constant volume process is one involving no change in volume flow **V** per unit of time, with volume flow in an out of a stock being constant. The work done **PdV** to increase or decrease volume flow through the economic system is therefore zero. From equation (3.17) for a reversible process we have:

$$TdS = dU + PdV$$

Thence, as **PdV** is zero:

$$TdS = dU \tag{3.19}$$

Thus a change in the entropy **dS** to the stock system is reflected only as a change in internal value **dU**. Now by differentiating the ideal economic equation **PV = NkT** we can also write:

$$PdV + VdP = NkdT \tag{3.20}$$

And remembering in this case that volume change is zero **dV=0**, then:

$$VdP = NkdT$$

Hence by substituting in **PV =NkT** again we have:

$$\frac{dP}{P} = \frac{dT}{T} \tag{3.21}$$

And:

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} = \frac{T_2}{T_1} \tag{3.22}$$

Thus the percent change in the price of output flow in the process (the volume flow rate not having changed) changes exactly in proportion to the percent change in the index of trading value **T**, arising from the input or output of entropic value **dQ = TdS** to and from the system. Nothing has been done to the items in the system, no work has been done; they are just perceived by the players in the system as having more or less value, by virtue of the entropic value **dQ** introduced or taken away. Economists might indicate that a change in price/value of this kind could arise from changes in

scarcity, abundance or utility values, or the difference between supply and demand.

Now in order to compute the change in entropy associated with this process, we have first to set out a relationship between the change in the internal value **dU** and the change in the index of trading value **dT**. Similar in structure to equation (3.9), we could write for a single stock unit and for a multiple unit stock:

$$du = C_v dT \qquad \text{and} \qquad dU = NC_v dT \tag{3.23}$$

where $\mathbf{C_v}$ is a constant (for an 'ideal' economic system), which we shall call the *Specific Value at constant volume,* being analogous to the specific value **C** developed in equation (3.11).

The thermodynamic analogy here is the specific heat at constant volume, being the heat required to raise the temperature of a unit of a gas system by one degree of a scale of temperature. The specific heat of a gas is commonly computed in thermodynamics terms by reference to either unit mass or quantity. The usual measure of the latter is per mole. For a monatomic ideal gas the specific heat at constant volume $\mathbf{C_v = (3/2)\ N_A k}$, where $\mathbf{N_A}$ is Avogadro's Number. Thus specific heat is measured as heat value relating to a multiple of numbers of molecules.

In this book the *Specific Value* of a good at constant volume $\mathbf{C_v}$ in an economic system is defined as the amount of value **du** required to be introduced to the internal value of a unit of stock to change the index of trading value by **dT,** but without any net change in volume flow in or out of the system. It is a measure of ability to store the entropic value that is introduced by **dQ**. In economic terms utility has risen or declined, but nothing of substance has been added or taken away.

It might be supposed that the value $\mathbf{C_v}$ for an economic stock good would be a constant. However, in gas systems, according to the kinetic theory of gases, the specific heat at constant volume is actually dependent upon the complexity of the gas molecules. A simple molecule requires less energy to increase its momentum and raise its temperature, than does a complex one, according to the number of *'degrees of freedom'* – dimensional, rotational and vibrational energies (quantum mechanics introduces yet further degrees of freedom, those of electronic and nuclear). And in reverse, a complex molecule releases more energy for a given drop in temperature than does a

simple molecule. The question therefore arises therefore as to whether such a variation is possible in an economic system.

The answer proposed in this book is that variation in $\mathbf{C_v}$ is likely to depend on the 'complexity' of a good in terns of its attributes. In economic terms *'degree of value'* might be a better description than *'degree of freedom'*. To illustrate the concept, money in the form of cash clearly has a nominal value as a means of exchange, with a relatively short lifetime. It might be deemed to have a low *degree of value* $\mathbf{C_v}$. By contrast, both goods that are produced and income generating securities are more complex, containing productive content that can only be released over time.

The two obvious connections therefore, as hinted at equation (3.11), are that the specific value $\mathbf{C_v}$ is a function of both the productive content **k** of the particular good, and the effective lifetime of the good, notated as ξ at equations (2.2) and (3.11). However, some goods, such as gold and diamonds have aesthetic value (to humans), adding complexity to the valuation. To allow for additional complexity, we choose to define the specific value at constant volume $\mathbf{C_v}$ to be proportional to the embodied value/productive content **k**, and another factor $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, which will encompass both the lifetime and other aspects. Hence in our constant volume economic system we could write:

$$C_v = \omega k \tag{3.24}$$

Where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ might be called the *Value Capacity Coefficient*.

In thermodynamics, the specific heat of a gas is determined by means of highly controlled experiments, to ensure that no heat losses and other factors occur to nullify the results. In economics of course, all values being relative, designing an experiment is likely to be that much more difficult to do. Nevertheless, stating the specific value at constant volume $\mathbf{C_v}$ in this way enables us to continue with the analysis, even if a value cannot immediately be attached – though a starting point might be the effective lifetime or turn-round time of a stock.

Now by combining equations (3.15), (3.19), (3.23) and (3.24), the entropy change in the economic process is then written as:

$$dS = \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} = \left(\frac{dU}{T}\right)_{rev} = NC_v\left(\frac{dT}{T}\right)_{rev} = N\omega k\left(\frac{dT}{T}\right)_{rev} \tag{3.25}$$

Thence by integrating we have, for a reversible process:

$$S_2 - S_1 = N\omega k \ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) \tag{3.26}$$

And by substituting in equation (3.22) we have:

$$S_2 - S_1 = N\omega k \ln\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right) \tag{3.27}$$

which equates the change in entropy in terms of the value capacity coefficient **ω,** and the changes in the index of trading value **T** and price **P**.

In differential form equations (3.26) and (3.27) can be written as:

$$dS = N\omega k\left(\frac{dP}{P}\right)_{rev} = N\omega k\left(\frac{dT}{T}\right)_{rev} \tag{3.28}$$

Thus entropy change in the constant volume process is proportional to the percent change in price or index of trading value. Figure 3.7 illustrates the Constant Volume process, in terms of a **P-V** diagram and an **S-T** diagram.



Figure 3.7 Constant Volume Process

By definition, a process that is close to a constant volume process is highly *inelastic*, as a price change can only result in a small change in volume.

3.4 Constant Price Process

In contrast to the constant volume process, a constant price process is one than involves a change in volume flow per unit of time, but no change in price. Work done is *not* therefore zero, and any entropic value **dQ** entering or leaving the system must equate to the work done **dW** in changing the volume flow, plus the change in the internal value **dU** of the system. Hence equation (3.17) for a reversible process is stated as:

$$TdS = dU + PdV \tag{3.29}$$

As with the constant volume process, by differentiating the ideal economic equation **PV=NkT** we have:

$$PdV + VdP = NkdT$$

But, since in this process price remains constant, **VdP** is therefore zero and we can then write:

$$PdV = NkdT \tag{3.30}$$

Hence by combining equations (3.23), (3.29) and (3.30) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} TdS &= NC_v dT + PdV \\ &= NC_v dT + NkdT \\ &= NC_p dT \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Where $\mathbf{C_p = (C_v + k)}$ is a constant, which we shall call the *Specific Value at constant price*, being analogous to the specific heat at constant pressure in a thermodynamic system, in a similar manner to the constant volume process discussed earlier.

It will be recalled also from equation (3.24) that the specific value at constant volume using our value capacity coefficient was $\mathbf{C_v = \omega k}$; thence we could write for a constant price process:

$$\begin{aligned} C_p &= \omega k + k \\ &= (\omega + 1)k \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

The higher value of the specific value $\mathbf{C_p}$ at constant price, compared to that of the specific value $\mathbf{C_v}$ at constant volume, recognises that in adding value to the internal value **U**, volume movement of units takes place. Additional value is flowing through, i.e. not only the entropic value **ωk** (equation 3.28), but also volume of real productive content **k** into and out of the stock.

Now by substituting the ideal equation **PV = NkT** back into equation (3.30) and remembering that price is constant we have:

$$\frac{dV}{V} = \frac{dT}{T} \tag{3.33}$$

And:

$$\frac{V_2}{V_1} = \frac{T_2}{T_1} \tag{3.34}$$

Thus the percent change in the volume flow rate **V** matches the percent change in the index of trading value **T**; which is what one might expect for a constant price process. A change in the index of trading value finds its way wholly into a change in volume flow, and not price.

Similarly by combining equations (3.15), (3.31) and (3.32), the entropy gain for the process is written as:

$$dS = \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} = NC_p\left(\frac{dT}{T}\right)_{rev} = Nk(\omega+1)\left(\frac{dT}{T}\right)_{rev} \tag{3.35}$$

Thence by integrating we have for a constant price reversible process:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk(\omega+1)\ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) \tag{3.36}$$

And by substituting in equation (3.34) we have:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk(\omega+1)\ln\left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right) \tag{3.37}$$

Thus stating the change in entropy for the constant price process in terms of the changes in the index of trading value and the associated volume flow

rate. Figure 3.8 illustrates the Constant Price process, in terms of a **P-V** diagram and an **S-T** diagram.



Figure 3.8 Constant Price Process

By definition, a process that is close to a constant price process is highly *elastic*, as a small change in price can result in a large change in volume flow.

3.5 Iso-trading Process

As its name suggests, the iso-trading process is one where no change in the index of trading value occurs, that is **dT = 0**. The equivalent thermodynamic process is the isothermal case where temperature change is zero. In mathematical terms we can write:

$$PV = Z \tag{3.38}$$

where **Z** is a constant, and price varies *inversely* with volume flow. The greater the flow, the lower the price has to be in order for no change in the index of trading value to take place. This formula has common usage in standard economics textbooks on demand curves, and the shape of the curve is depicted at figure (3.7).

In our model, since the index of trading value **T** is constant, there is no change in incremental internal value **dU** in the stock, and therefore any change in incremental work done **dW** is reflected as a change in entropic value **dQ**. Thus for a reversible process we have:

$$dQ = dW = PdV$$

$$dQ = PdV \tag{3.39}$$

And differentiating the ideal economic equation **PV=NkT**, we have:

$$PdV + VdP = NkdT$$

And since **dT=0**, we have:

$$PdV + VdP = 0$$

Hence:

$$\frac{dP}{P} = -\frac{dV}{V} \tag{3.40}$$

Indicating, as would be expected, that a percent change in price is balanced by an opposite percent change in the volume flow rate.

By substituting equation (3.39) into equation (3.15) for the entropy change we have:

$$dS = \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} = \frac{1}{T}\left(PdV\right)_{rev} \tag{3.41}$$

And by further substituting in **PV=NkT**, we have:

$$dS = Nk\left(\frac{dV}{V}\right)_{rev} \tag{3.42}$$

And

$$dS = -Nk\left(\frac{dP}{P}\right)_{rev} \tag{3.43}$$

Hence by integrating we have for a reversible process:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk\ln\left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right) \tag{3.44}$$

And:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk \ln\left(\frac{P_1}{P_2}\right) \tag{3.45}$$

Thus we have a logarithmic relationship of entropy change **ΔS** with change in volume flow rate **V**, and an equal and opposite logarithmic relation with change in price **P**. Figure 3.9 illustrates the Iso-trading process, in terms of a **P-V** diagram and an **S-T** diagram.



Figure 3.9 Iso-trading Process

By definition, an iso-trading process is one that is neither highly *elastic* nor highly *inelastic*, as a percent change in price engenders a similar percent change in volume demand.

3.6 Polytropic Process

A more general type of relationship of price against volume found in economic processes is of the form:

$$PV^n = Z \tag{3.46}$$

Where **Z** is a constant and **n** is a factor known as the *elastic* index. This is easily confirmed by differentiating the equation to give:

$$\frac{dP}{P} = -n\left(\frac{dV}{V}\right) \tag{3.47}$$

Supply and demand curves are often drawn to this formula with demand curves having a positive value of **n** and supply curves a negative value. In thermodynamics such processes are called *Polytropic* processes and we shall use the same term here. It will noted that when **n = 0** the relationship reduces to a constant price process, and when **n =** ∞ it reduces to a constant volume one.

Now, referring back to our formula for the work done **W,** substituting in $\mathbf{PV^n=Z}$**,** we have for a reversible process:

$$W = \int_1^2 PdV = \int_1^2 \frac{Z}{V^n} dV$$

Thence by integration and substitution we obtain:

$$W = \left(\frac{P_2V_2 - P_1V_1}{1-n}\right) \tag{3.48}$$

And by further substitution of the ideal economic equation **PV=NkT**:

$$W = N\left(\frac{k}{1-n}\right)(T_2 - T_1) \tag{3.49}$$

Substituting the above back into equation (3.1) for the First Law relating entropic value to work done and the change in internal value we obtain:

$$Q - N\left(\frac{k}{1-n}\right)(T_2 - T_1) = U_2 - U_1 \tag{3.50}$$

And re-arranging and substituting in the integrated form of equation (3.23) for the internal value, and equation (3.24) for the specific value at constant volume:

$$Q = NC_v(T_2 - T_1) + N\left(\frac{k}{1-n}\right)(T_2 - T_1)$$

$$= Nk\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)(T_2 - T_1) \tag{3.51}$$

Finally we have an expression for the change in entropy in terms of the index of trading value **T**:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)\ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) \tag{3.52}$$

This equation can also be re-stated in terms of changes in price and changes in volume flow, by substituting in $\mathbf{PV^n = Z}$, although we will not clutter up the picture here. There are nevertheless three expressions setting out the relationships between volume flow, price and the index of trading value:

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} = \left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)^n \tag{3.53}$$

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \tag{3.54}$$

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right)^{1-n} \tag{3.55}$$

Figure 3.10 illustrates the polytropic process, in terms of a **P-V** diagram and an **S-T** diagram:



Figure 3.10 Polytropic Process

3.7 Isentropic Process

An important special case of the Polytropic process is the *Isentropic* case, where incremental entropy change **dS** is zero, with no entropic value **Q** entering or leaving the system. Thus in differential form for a reversible process, the incremental work done **dW** is equal and opposite to the change in internal value **dU**:

$$dW = -dU = -NC_v dT \tag{3.56}$$

Substituting in **PdV** for **dW** and setting alongside the ideal economic equation **PV=NkT** we have:

$$PdV = -NC_v dT \qquad \text{(First Law)}$$

$$NkdT = PdV + VdP \qquad \text{(Ideal Economic Equation)}$$

Eliminating **dT** from these equations and re-arranging we obtain:

$$0 = \left(1 + \frac{C_v}{k}\right)PdV + \left(\frac{C_v}{k}\right)VdP$$

And since $\mathbf{C_p = (C_v + k)}$, this reduces to:

$$0 = C_p PdV + C_v VdP$$

By writing $\mathbf{C_p/C_v = \gamma = (\omega+1)/\omega}$ this then becomes:

$$\gamma \frac{dV}{V} + \frac{dP}{P} = 0$$

And finally by integrating we get:

$$PV^{\gamma} = Z \tag{3.57}$$

Which is another form of equation (3.46) for the Polytropic process, with the elastic index $\boldsymbol{\gamma = (\omega+1)/\omega}$ being a function of the value capacity coefficient $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

We can therefore substitute in $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ for **n** to arrive at the isentropic relationships between volume flow, price and the index of trading value:

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} = \left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \tag{3.59}$$

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right)^{1-\gamma} \tag{3.60}$$

Since by definition there is no change in entropy in this process, all value changes to the internal value of the system involve only changes in real volume flow, with no change in entropic value. Figure 3.11 illustrates the Isentropic process, in terms of a **P-V** diagram and an **S-T** diagram.



Figure 3.11 Isentropic Process

3.8 Process Entropy

The equations for entropic gain in the preceding reversible processes examined all have a common form, and can all be derived from the entropic gain for the Polytropic process. It will be recalled from equation (3.52) that the expression for the change in entropy was derived as:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)\ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) \tag{3.61}$$

This can be re-stated as:

$$S_2 - S_1 = Nk\lambda \ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) \tag{3.62}$$

Where

$$\lambda = \left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right) \tag{3.63}$$

may be called the *Entropic Index*.

Hence the entropy change for a given process is related to both the change in the index of trading value, and the value of the entropic index **λ**. The latter is a function only of the value capacity coefficient **ω** and of the elastic

index **n** of the process. Figure 3.12 shows how the entropic index varies with changes in the elastic index.



Figure 3.12 Relationship between Entropic Index and Elastic Index

At the point where the elastic index **n** is equal to **(ω+1)/ω),** the entropic index becomes zero with no change in entropy occurring. This equates to the isentropic case (section 3.7). At the vertical line where the elastic index **n** is equal to 1, we have the iso-trading process (section 3.5) where the entropy change is not related to change in the index of trading value, but only to changes in price and volume flow. At the horizontal line where the entropic index is equal to **ω** we have the constant volume process (section 3.3). Last, we have that the entropic index **λ** for a constant price process is equal to **(ω+1)** (section 3.4), which implies an elastic index **n** equal to zero, with price not a function of volume flow.

It can be seen that as the elastic index approaches unity, the curves swing wildly up and down, entailing high positive and negative values of entropic index. Where the line crosses the x-axis the entropic index **λ** becomes zero. This occurs when the elastic index attains the particular value **n=γ**, and is a function of the value capacity coefficient **ω** of the particular good. Figure 3.13 illustrates some values of the entropic index **λ** set against both the elastic index **n** and the value capacity coefficient **ω**.



Figure 3.13 Entropic Index, Elastic Index and Value Capacity Coefficient

A further way of looking at the process is to consider deviations of the entropic index **λ** from the isentropic position. It will be recalled from equation (3.63) and figure 3.12 that the condition of nil entropy gain is satisfied when the elastic index **n** is equal to **γ = (ω+1)/ω**. Figure 3.14 sets out a graph of elastic index versus the value capacity coefficient **ω**. The line on the graph represents a locus of points of nil entropy gain where **n = γ = (ω+1)/ω**.

It can be seen that the isentropic elastic index **γ** is low for high values of value capacity coefficient **ω**, and is high for low values of value capacity coefficient **ω**. High values of elastic index are associated with an inelastic position, whereby output volume is not effected much by changes in price; and vice versa.



Figure 3.14 Elastic Index as a function of Value Capacity Coefficient

The position for assets and financial instruments such as bonds, with long lifetimes and maturity dates further to the right along the X-axis is therefore different from that for short-term economic assets and instruments such as cash.

A process where the curve shifts either side of the isentropic position, with elastic index **n** greater than or less than the isentropic elastic index **γ**, may change the entropic index **λ** and thence reduce or amplify changes in the index of trading value **dT/T**. This point is important when we come to consider a monetary model at chapter 5.

From all of the above, it can be seen that the entropy gain for the general polytropic process is of a logarithmic form, as per the general equation (3.61). This is augmented both by the value capacity coefficient **ω** and the elastic index **n**, as shown in figure 3.15.



Figure 3.15Entropy for a Polytropic Process

Finally, it is of interest to note that by algebraic manipulation of equations (3.54), (3.55) and (3.62) the incremental entropy change for the polytropic process can be expressed in three forms:

$$ds = k\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)\frac{dT}{T} \tag{3.64}$$

$$ds = k(\omega - \omega n + 1)\frac{dV}{V} \tag{3.65}$$

$$ds = k\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)(\omega - \omega n + 1)\frac{dP}{P} \tag{3.66}$$

Thus incremental entropy change **ds** is equated to factors multiplied by percent changes in the index of trading value, the volume flow rate, or the price. Thus, in terms of economic entities, incremental entropy change **ds** is effectively similar in concept to percent rates for growth, inflation and interest. This property should be borne in mind when reading later chapters of this book.

3.9 Thermodynamics and Utility

A number of researchers have highlighted similarities between economic utility theory and thermodynamic concepts, in particular entropy. Candeal, Miguel et al (2001) describe a similarity between the utility representation problem in utility theory and the entropy representation problem related to the second Law of Thermodynamics. Sousa and Domingos (2005, 2006) describe a number of aspects of both utility theory and thermodynamics. Smith & Foley (2002, 2004) highlight similarities between utility and entropy. From the theory developed in this chapter some similarities between utility and entropy also occur, perhaps being even more strikingly apparent, first met with the Constant Volume process.

Before proceeding further, it should be noted that in economics it is common to use the character **U** to signify utility. However, since the same character is used in thermodynamics to signify the internal energy of a gas, which we have used also here in connection with the internal value held in a stock by virtue of its throughput; to avoid confusion we will change the economic utility character to **Y**.

The economic concept of utility **Y** is one that is not readily understood and appreciated by scientists, and some basic explanation is necessary to provide a bridge between the two disciplines. In simple terms, utility theory posits that consumers can choose between consuming more or less of a variety of goods, according to their particular circumstances and preferences. Consumers are said subconsciously and individually to attach particular utility values to each good, and to endeavour to maximise their total utility within an overall income/budget constraint. Economists use such constructs as indifference curve maps and Edgeworth boxes to show how one consumer's preferences are reconciled to another's. The total utility **Y** for a range of goods **(a.…n)** for a particular consumer can be expressed as:

$$Y = f\left(V_a, V_b .....V_n\right)$$
$$\text{subject to } \left(P_a V_a + P_b V_b + ...P_n V_n\right) \leq m \qquad (3.67)$$

Where **V** is volume, **P** is price and **m** is a budget value constraint. Utility, in economic parlance, is therefore couched in terms of a consumption set of volumes of different goods, within an overall constraint of **∑PV≤m.**

A basic tenet of utility theory is that as consumers consume more of a particular good, their utility with respect to that good rises, but grows slower and slower as their level of satisfaction causes them to turn to other possibilities on which to spend their income. Thus their marginal utility with respect to the particular good falls with increasing volume.

Proceeding further, the Law of Diminishing Marginal Utility states that at consumer equilibrium the marginal utility of one good with respect to volume **∂Y/∂V** divided by its price **P** is equal to the marginal utility of another good with respect to volume change divided by its price:

$$\frac{\left(\partial Y / \partial V\right)_a}{P_a} = \frac{\left(\partial Y / \partial V\right)_b}{P_b} = ... = \frac{\left(\partial Y / \partial V\right)_n}{P_n} \qquad (3.68)$$

The equation is set out in terms of partial utility derivatives for each good, within the total utility and the budget constraint at equation (3.64). The law constitutes a basic input to the law of downward sloping demand curves – price being inversely a function of volume, as per most of the curves in figure 3.7 and subsequently developed in this chapter.

A number of utility functions are in common use in economics to explain particular preferences, including constant elasticity of substitution, isoelastic, Cobb-Douglas, exponential and linear. The isoelastic case for instance is of the form $\mathbf{Y=f(X)=(X)^{1-a}/1-a}$, which, for a value of **a=1,** in the limit reduces to **Y=ln(X)**, the familiar log curve often used in illustrations of utility.

A scientist will readily appreciate that in equation (3.68) no direct reference is made to the underlying productive content/embodied value, energy or other scientific measure for each good, only the price **P** and the marginal utility values with respect to volume **∂Y/∂V** attached to them by a particular consumer. These are both variables, and their value, according to economic theory, depends upon the view of each consumer and supply and demand only. Two points to note here therefore are first, that the utility and price attached to any one good can vary compared to the productive content of the good, which does not vary, and second, consumers view the productive content as being *net* of any exergy and efficiency losses incurred in its production which do not appear in the economic balance sheet.

We have therefore to investigate whether a link between utility theory and thermodynamics can be established, using the theoretic structure set out so

far in this chapter, and what differences may exist between the two disciplines.

First, it should be noted that immediately before a choice decision, our consumer has not fulfilled his utility; and this remains unsatisfied, free and positive, locked into his supply of money (or promise thereof) until he has bought his product in exchange for money, and consumption of the good(s) then takes place over time. A utility value therefore exists with the consumer immediately *before* the point of purchase, is confirmed on purchase and then declines as consumption begins to take place. Entropy, on the other hand, is a property that is released from a product as it goes through a process of consumption. For example, if fuel is burnt, useful exergy value is consumed and lost forever, and entropy is created and dispersed as a Second Law loss. The difference therefore is that utility resides with the *purchaser or 'owner'*, and entropy resides with the *purchased* or *'product'* (being released to the ecosystem). Utility therefore might be defined as *potential economic entropic value* to be released on consumption.

A further point to consider is that utility decisions occur continuously, as output value flow proceeds. Thus changes in both utility and entropic value in an economic context occur over time. In the case of entropy, this is through the index of trading value **T**.

As a first requirement, any thermodynamic process considered must be able to provide the impetus to allow price and utility to vary quite independently of the underlying productive content. From the First Law of Thermodynamics, the work done **W** alone will not provide such an impetus, since it is concerned *only* with changes in volume flow. The most likely candidate therefore is the entropic value **Q** added or taken away, since that constitutes essentially new money, abundance and scarcity and changes in demand, all of which can influence consumers' purchasing preferences.

Reverting to our thermodynamic development, the polytropic case $\mathbf{PV^n = Z}$ represents a suitable process to examine as, from figure 3.12, all of the other processes can be derived from this.

Considering a *single* good, then it will be recalled that the equation for a reversible polytropic economic process, set out at equation (3.51), is equal to:

$$Q = Nk\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)\left(T_2 - T_1\right) \tag{3.69}$$

Where **Q** is the Entropic value being added or taken away during the process. In differential terms this can be restated as:

$$dQ = Nk\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)dT \tag{3.70}$$

By dividing both sides by incremental work done for a reversible process **dW =PdV**, we could re-state this as:

$$\frac{dQ}{PdV} = Nk\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)\left(\frac{dT}{PdV}\right)$$

Substituting in the ideal economic equation **PV=NkT**, we have:

$$\frac{dQ}{PdV} = \left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right)\left(\frac{dT}{T}\right)\left(\frac{V}{dV}\right) \tag{3.71}$$

And from equation (3.55) for the polytropic process we have in differential form:

$$\frac{dT}{T} = (1-n)\frac{dV}{V}$$

Substituting this into equation (3.71) and re-arranging we have:

$$\frac{dQ/dV}{P} = (1-n)\left(\omega + \frac{1}{1-n}\right) \tag{3.72}$$

or

$$\left(\frac{dQ/dV}{P}\right) = (\omega - \omega n + 1) \tag{3.73}$$

And in the alternative we can write:

$$\left(\frac{dQ}{dW}\right) = (\omega - \omega n + 1) \tag{3.74}$$

Equations (3.73) and (3.74) say:

- For one particular good, incremental change in Entropic Value with respect to incremental volume change **dQ/dV**, all divided by price **P** is equal to a simple function of the value capacity coefficient **ω** and the elastic index **n**, and in the alternative:

- Incremental change in Entropic Value with respect to work done **dQ/dW** is equal to the same function of the value capacity coefficient **ω** and the elastic index **n.**

These equations have some significance as, when compared to equation (3.68) for the Law of Diminishing Marginal Utility, they imply that utility for a single good might be related to entropic value **Q**, and that marginal utility for a single good might be related to incremental change in entropic value with respect to volume change **dQ/dV**, which is also a function of the index of trading value **T** multiplied by the incremental change in entropy with respect to volume change i.e. **dQ/dV=TdS/dV**.

This is *not* the same, however, as saying that the marginal utility of a single good is purely a function of entropy change. The index of trading value **T** comes into play as well because utility values occur in the context of streams of value flow **PV** over time. Moreover, from equation (3.69) it can be seen that values for the right hand side are dependent upon the type of process considered. For the five processes set out in this chapter, the right hand side of equation (3.73) is defined as follows:

	Process	***Elastic Index***	***Marginal Entropic Ratio (ω – ωn +1)***
•	*Constant volume*	n=∞	-∞ (no volume change)
•	*Constant price*	n=0	(ω + 1)
•	*Iso-trading*	n=1	1
•	*Polytropic*	n=n	(ω – ωn + 1)
•	*Isentropic*	n=γ	No value as entropy change is zero

Thus comparisons of entropy value changes for processes with different elastic indices **n** and value capacity coefficients **ω** will yield different answers. We will call the factor (ω – ωn + 1) the *Marginal Entropic Ratio* for a single good, being equal to marginal entropic value with respect to work done **dQ/dW**; equal also to **TdS/PdV.** Figure 3.16 sets out a graphical representation of the Marginal Entropic Ratio to explain the differences.



Figure 3.16 Marginal Entropic Ratio ***(ω – ωn + 1)*** *versus Elastic Index* ***n***

Finally, if we substitute **TdS** for **dQ** in equation (3.73), we have:

$$\left(\frac{TdS/dV}{P}\right) = (\omega - \omega n + 1)$$

Thence:

$$\left(\frac{dS/dV}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)(\omega - \omega n + 1) \tag{3.75}$$

The same result is obtained if we consider a unit stock process, since entropy **S** and volume flow **V** are replace by **s=S/N** and **v=V/N**. Thus:

$$\left(\frac{ds/dv}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)(\omega - \omega n + 1) \tag{3.76}$$

All of the forgoing analysis suggests that there is likely to be a strong relationship between the economic concept of utility **Y** and the thermodynamic concepts of entropic value **Q** and entropy **S.** The relationship also depends however upon the value capacity coefficient **ω**, (encompassing the lifetime and aesthetic values attached by humans to a product), and the elastic index **n**, both of which may vary between goods.

More work is therefore required to examine the relationship, and in particular, the situation of multiple goods and partial utilities within a budget constraint.

REFERENCES

Anderson, N. Sleath, J. (1999) 'New estimates of the UK real and nominal yield curves', *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin*, November 1999.

Annila, A. Salthe, S. (2009) 'Economies evolve by energy dispersal', *Entropy*, 11, pp.606-633.

Ayres, R. U. van den Bergh, C. J. M. Gowdy, J. M. (1998) 'Viewpoint: Weak versus strong sustainability' 98-103/3, *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Papers.*

Ayres, R. U. Warr, B. Accounting for growth: The role of physical work'. *Centre for Management of Environmental Resources*, INSEAD.

Ayres, R.U. Martinas, K. 'Wealth accumulation and economic progress' *Evolutionary Economics* (1996) 6: pp. 347-359.

Ayres, R.U. Nair, I. (1984) 'Thermodynamics and economics'. Physics Today, November 1984.

Ayres, R.U. Warr, B. 'Two paradigms of production and growth' INSEAD.

Ayres, R.U. van den Bergh, J.C.J.M. Gowdy, J.M. (1998) Viewpoint: Weak versus strong sustainability. *Tinbergen Institute* Discussion Papers No 98-103/3.

Bacon, C. (2004) 'Practical portfolio performance measurement and Attribution', Wileys.

Baumgärtner, S. (2002) 'Thermodynamics and the economics of absolute scarcity' 2*nd World Congress of Environmental and Resource Economists*, June 24-27, 2002, Monterey, CA, USA.

Baumgärtner, S. (2004) 'Temporal and thermodynamic irreversibility in production theory' Economic Theory, 26, pp. 725-728

Baumgärtner, S. Faber, M. Proops, J.L.R. (1996) 'The use of the entropy concept in ecological economics', Faber et al. (1996: Chap. 7).

Brekke, K. A. (1997) 'Economic growth and the environment: On the measurement of income and welfare.' *Edward Elgar*, Cheltenham.

Bryant, J. (1979) 'An equilibrium theory of economics', *Energy Economics*, Vol 1, No 2, pp. 102-111.

Bryant, J. (1982) 'A thermodynamic approach to economics', *Energy Economics*, Vol.4, No. 1, pp. 36-50.

Bryant, J. (1985) 'Economics, equilibrium and thermodynamics', Workshop Energy & Time in the Economic and Physical Sciences, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. pp. 197-217.

Bryant, J. (2007) 'A thermodynamic theory of economics', *International Journal of Exergy*, Vol.4, No. 3, pp. 302-337.

Bryant, J. (2008) 'A thermodynamic approach to monetary economics', *Vocat International Ltd*, www.vocat.co.uk.

Bryant, J. (2008) 'Thermodynamics and the economic process. An application to World energy resources and climate change', *Vocat International Ltd,* www.vocat.co.uk.

Candeal, J.C. De Migule, J.R. Indurain, E. Mehta, G.B. (1999). 'Representations of ordered semigroups and the physical concept of entropy'. Preprint (1999).

Candeal, J.C. De Migule, J.R. Indurain, E. Mehta, G.B. (2001) 'On a theorem of Cooper'. *Journal Mathematical Analysis & Applications. Math.* Anal. Appl. 258 (2001) pp. 701-710.

Candeal, J.C. De Migule, J.R. Indurain, E. Mehta, G.B. (2001) 'Utility and Entropy' *Economic Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 17, pp. 233-238.

Chakrabarti, B. K. Chatterjee, A. 'Ideal gas-like distributions in economics:Effects of savings propensity'. arXiv:cond-mat/0302147

Chakraborti, A. Patriarca, M. (2008) 'Gamma-distribution and wealth inequality' International Workshop on: Statistical Physics Approaches to Multi-disciplinary Problems, IIT Guwahati.

Chen, J. (2007) 'Ecological Economics: An analytical thermodynamic theory' University of Northern British Columbia, Canada

Chen, J. (2007) 'The physical foundations of economics' www.worldscientific.com

Cleveland, C. J. (2008) 'Biophysical economics' www.eoearth.org.

Cobb, C.W. Douglas, P.H. (1928) 'A theory of production', *American Economic Review*, 18 (supplement), pp. 139-165.

Costanza, R, Hannon, B. (1989). 'Dealing with the mixed units problem in ecosystem network analysis'. In F Wulff, JG Field, KH Mann (eds) *Network Analysis in Marine Ecology: Methods and Applications*, pp. 90-115, Berlin: Springer.

Costanza, R. (1980) 'Embodied energy and economic valuation' *Science* Vol 210. no. 4475. pp. 1219-1224.

Daly, H. E. (1991) 'Steady state economics: Second edition with new essays' *Island Press*, Washingron, DC.

Daly, H. E. (1992) 'Is the entropy law relevant to the economics of natural resources? Yes, of course it is!', Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 23, pp. 91-95.

Daly, H. E. (2005) 'Economics in a full world'. *Scientific American*, Vol 293, Issue 3.

Debreu, G. (1954). 'Representation of a preference ordering by a numerical function'. Decision Processes, New York: Wiley pp. 159-165.

Debreu, G. (1959) 'The theory of value: An axiomatic analysis of economic equilibrium'

Dragulescu, A. Yakovenko, V. M.(2000) 'Statistical mechanics of money' *Eur. Phys.J. B 17, pp. 723-729*

Dragulescu, A. Yakovenko, V. M.(2001) 'Exponential and power law probability distributions of wealth and income in the United Kingdom and the United States'. Physica A, 299 (2001) pp. 213-221.

Estrella, A. Trubin, R.T. (2006) 'The Yield Curve as a Leading Indicator: Practical Issues', *Federal Reserve Bank of New York*, Vol 12, No 5.

Eyring, H. (1935). 'The Activated Complex in chemical Reactions'. *J. Chem. Phys*. 3: 107. doi:10.1063/1/1749604.

Eyring, H. Polanyi, M. (1931). *Z. Phys. Chem. Abt* B 12:279.

Farmer, J.D.. Shubik, M. Smith, E. (2008) 'Economics: the next physical science?' Santa Fe Institute

Ferrero, J. C. (2004) 'The statistical distribution of money and the rate of money transference' Physica A: Vol 341, pp. 575-585.

Fisher, I. (1892) 'Mathematical investigations of the theory of values and prices'. Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 9, pp. 11-126.

Frederick, S. Loewenstein, G. O'Donoghue, T. (2002) 'Time discounting and time preference: A critical review' Journal of Economic Literature, Vol 40, No. 2, (June) pp.351-401.

Friedman, M. (1956), 'The Quantity Theory of Money: A Restatement' in *Studies in the Quantity Theory of Money*, edited by M. Friedman. Reprinted in *The Optimum Quantity of Money* (2005), pp. 51-67.

Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1971) 'The entropy law and the economic process', *Harvard University Press*, Cambridge MA.

Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1979) 'Energy analysis and economic valuation", Southern Economic Journal, 45, pp. 1023-1058.

Goldberg, R.N. Tewari, Y.B. Bhat, T.N. (1993-1999) 'Thermodynamics of enzyme-catalysed reaction Parts 1-5' *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data.*

Green, J. Baron, J. (2001) 'Intuitions about declining marginal utility' Journal of Behavioural Decision Making. 14, pp. 243-255.

Grubbeström, R. W. (1985) 'Towards a generalised exergy concept'. Energy & Time in the Economic and Physical Sciences, North Holland, Amsterdamm, pp. 225-242.

Hannon, B. (1973) 'An energy standard of value', *Annals of the American Academy,* 410: pp. 139-153.

Hansen, A (1953) A Guide to Keynes, *New York: McGraw Hill.*

Harrison, S. et al. (2007) 'Interpreting movements in broad money', *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin,* 2007 Q3.

Hau, J. L. Bakshi, B. R. (2004) 'Promise and problems of emergy analysis', *Ecological Modelling*, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 178: pp. 212-225.

Hicks, J. (1932) 'The theory of wages'. *Macmillan,* London.

Hubbert, M.K. (1956) 'Nuclear energy and the fossil fuels'. *American Petroleum Institute*, Spring meeting, Southern District.

Kamps, C. (2004) 'New estimates of government net capital stocks for 22 OECD countries 1960-2001'. *Kiel Institute for World Economics.*

Kapolyi, L. 'Systems analysis of bio-economy entropy and negentropy in biopolitics' *Systems International Foundation*, Hungary.

Kay, J. Schneider, E. (1992) ' Thermodynamics and measures of ecosystem integrity', *Ecological indicators*, Elsevier, New York, pp. 159-191.

Keynes, J.M. (1936), 'The General theory of employment, interest and money' London: Macmillan (reprinted 2007).

Klein, L. (1962) 'An introduction to econometrics'. Prentice-Hall, Inc. pp. 154-156.

Koopmans, T. C. Ed (1951) 'Activity analysis of production and allocation'. New York, John Wiley & Sons.

Laherrere, J. (2005) 'Forecasting production from discovery'. *ASPO.* Lisbon May 19-20, 2005.

Laherrere, J. (2006) 'Fossil fuels: What future?' *China Institute of International Studies.* Workshop October 2006, Beijing.

Leontief, W. W. (1986) *'Input-output economics'.* 2nd ed., New York: Oxford University Press, 1986.

Lezon, T.R, Banavar, J. R. Cieplak, M. Fedoroff, N. (2006) 'Using the principle of entropy maximization to infer genetic interaction networks from gene expression patterns'. PNAS vol 103, no.50 pp. 19033-19038.

Lisman, J. H. C. (1949) 'Econometrics statistics and thermodynamics', *Netherlands Postal and Telecommunications Services*, The Hague, Holland, Ch IV.

Mackay, D.J.C. (2008) 'Sustainable Energy – without the hot air', *UIT Cambridge Ltd,* ISBN 978-0-9544529-3-3.

Malthus, T. R. (1798) 'An essay on the principle of population'.

Mahulikar, S. P. Herwig, H. (2004) 'Conceptual investigation of the entropy principle for identification of directives for creation, existence and total destruction of order' *Physica Scripta*, vol 70, pp. 212-221.

Martinás, K. (2000) 'An irreversible economic approach to the theory of production' *Systems & Information Dynamics*. Vol. 7, Issue 4.

Martinás, K. (2002) 'Is the utility maximization principle necessary? *Post-autistic economics review*, issue no. 12, March 2002.

Martinás, K. (2005) 'Energy in physics and in economy' *Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems* 3(2), pp. 44-58.

Martinás, K. (2007) 'Non-equilibrium economics' *Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems* 4(2), pp. 63-79

Meadows, D.H. Meadows, D. L. Randers, J. Behrens III, W.W. (1972) 'The limits to growth'. *Universe Books.*

Mirowski, P. (1989) 'More heat than light: Economics as social physics' *New York: Cambridge University Press.*

Mirowski, P. (2002) 'Machine dreams: Economics becomes a cyborg science' *New York: Cambridge University Press.*

Nelson, C.R., Siegel, A.F. (1987) 'Parsimonious modelling of yield curves' *Journal of Business,* 60(4), pp.473-489.

Neumann, J. von. Morgenstern, O. 'Theory of Games and Economic Behavior'. Princeton, NJ. Princeton University Press. 1944 sec.ed. 1947.

Odum, H. T. (1971) 'Environment, power & Society', *Wiley,* London.

Odum, H. T. (1973) 'Energy, ecology and economics' Ambio 2, pp. 220-227.

Odum, H. T. (1998) 'Emergy evaluation', *International Workshop on Advance in Energy Studies*, Porto Venere, Italy.

Ozawa, H. Ohmura, A. Lorenz, R. D. Pujol, T. (2003) 'The second law of thermodynamics and the global climate system: A review of the maximum entropy production principle'. American Geophysical Union, Reviews of Geophysics, 41, 4 / 1018

Patterson, M. (1998) 'Commensuration and theories of value in ecological economics' *Ecological Economics,* 25, pp. 105-125.

Phillips, A. W. (1958) 'The relationship between unemployment and the rate of change of money wages in the United Kingdom 1861-1957' Economica 25 (100) pp. 283-299.

Pikler, A. G. (1954) 'Optimum allocation in econometrics and physics', *Welwirtschaftliches Archiv.*

Proops, J. R. (1985) 'Thermodynamics and economics: from analogy to physical functioning'. Energy & Time in the Economic and Physical Sciences, North Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 225-242.

Purica, I. (2004) 'Cities: Reactors of economic transactions'. Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting. Vol 1. Issue 2 pp. 20-37.

Raine, A. Foster, J. Potts, J. (2006) The new entropy law and the economic process', *Ecological Complexity*, 3, pp. 354-360.

Ruth, M. (1993) 'Integrating economy, ecology and thermodynamics'. Kluwer Academic, Dordecht.

Ruth, M. (2007) 'Entropy, economics, and policy' Universitat Bremen, artec-paper Nr. 140

Samuelson, Paul. (1937) "A Note on Measurement of Utility," *Rev. Econ. Stud.* 4, pp. 155-61.

Samuelson, P. A. (1947) 'Foundations of economic analysis', *Harvard University Press.*

Samuelson, P. A. (1964) 'An extension of the Le Chatelier principle' *Econometrica*, 28, pp. 368-379.

Samuelson, P. A. (1970) 'Maximum principles in analytical economics', *Nobel Memorial Lecture*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.

Samuelson, P. A. (1980) 'Economics' 11th edition, McGraw-Hill.

Schneider, E. D. (1987) 'Schrödinger shortchanged', *Nature* 328, 300.

Schneider, E. D. Kay, J. J. (1995) 'Order from disorder: The thermodynamics of complexity in biology', *What is life: The next fifty years. Reflections on the future of biology*, Cambridge University Press, pp. 161-172.

Schrödinger, E. (1944) 'What is life?' London: *Cambridge University Press*.

Shannon, C. E. Weaver, W. (1949) 'The mathematical theory of information', University of Illinois, P, Urnaban, Ill. (1949).

Smith, E. Foley, D. K. (2002) 'Is utility theory so different from thermodynamics?', *Santa Fe Institute.*

Smith, E. Foley, D. K. (2004) 'Classical thermodynamics and general equilibrium theory', *Santa Fe Institute.*

Soddy, F. (1934) 'The role of money', *Routledge, London.*

Söllner, F. (1997) 'A re-examination of the role of thermodynamics for environmental economics', *Ecological Economics*, 22. pp. 175-201.

Solow, R. M. (1956) A contribution to the theory of economic growth'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70, pp. 65-94.

Solow, R. M. (1957) 'Technical change and the aggregate production function'. *Review of Economics & Statistics.* 39. pp. 312-320.

Solow, R. M. (1974) 'Intergenerational equity and exhaustible resources. *Review of Economic Studies,* vol 41: pp. 29-45.

Sousa, T. Domingos, T. (2005) 'Is neoclassical microeconomics formally valid? An approach based on an analogy with equilibrium thermodynamics', *Ecological Economics,* in press.

Sousa, T. Domingos, T. (2006) 'Equilibrium econophysics: A unified formalism for neoclassical economics and equilibrium thermodynamics' P*hysica A*, 371 (2006) pp. 492-512.

Swenson, R. (1988) 'Emergence and the principle of maximum entropy production'. Proceedings of 32nd Annual meeting of the International Society for General Systems Research, 32.

Svensson, L. (1994) 'Estimating and interpreting forward interest rates: Sweden 1992-94', *IMF Working Paper,* No 114.

Swenson, R. (2000) 'Spontaneous order, autocatakinetic closure, and the development of space time'. Annals New York Academy of Science vol 901, pp. 311-319.

Taylor, J. B. (1993) 'Discretion versus policy rule in practice', *Carnegie-Rochester*, Conference series on public policy 39, December, pp. 195-214.

Turner, G. (2008) 'A comparison of the limits to growth with thirty years of reality'. *Global Environmental Change,* vol 18, Issue 3, pp. 397-411.
Udgaonkar, J.B. (2001) 'Entropy in Biology' Resonance September 2001.
Waggoner, D. (1997) 'Spline methods for extracting interest rate curves from coupon bond prices', *Federal Reserve Bank Atlanta*, Working Paper No 97-10.
Wall, G. (1986) 'Exergy - A useful concept' *Chalmers Biblioteks Tryckeri*, Goteborg 1986.
Warr, B. Ayres, R.U. (2002) 'An introduction to REXS a simple systems dynamics model of long-run endogenous technological progress, resource consumption and economic growth'. INSEAD.
Warr, B. Schandl, H. Ayres, R.U. (2007) 'Long term trends in resource exergy consumption and useful work supplies in the UK 1900 - 2000' CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems.
Yuqing, H. (2006) 'Income Distribution: Boltzmann analysis and its extension' Physica A: Statistics mechanics and its applications. Vol 377, Issue 1. pp. 230-240.
Zittel, W. Schindler, J. (2007) 'Coal: Resources and future production'. *Energy Watch Group,* EWG-Series No1/2007.

DATA SOURCES

BP Statistical Reviews
Energy Information Administration (EIA), US Department of Energy
IEA
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change:
Third & Fourth Assessment Reports.
OECD
Penn World
United Nations
USA Census Bureau
www.bea.gov
www.statistics.gov.uk
www.federalreserve.gov

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	*Thermo-Economic*	*Thermodynamic*
t	Time	Time
P	Price	Pressure
V	Volume flow rate	Volume (3-D)
N	Number of stock units	Number of molecules
v (=V/N)	Specific Volume Rate	Specific Volume (1/density)
G (=PV)	Value flow rate	Energy
k	Productive Content/unit	Boltzmann constant
Nk	Stock Productive Content	n.a.
T	Index of Trading Value	Temperature
S	Entropy	Entropy
s (=S/N)	Entropy per unit	Entropy per unit
F	Free Value (flow)	Free Energy (Helmholtz)
X	Free Value (flow)	Free Energy (Gibb)
f (=F/N)	Free Value per unit	Free Energy per unit
C_v	Specific Value (Const volume)	Specific Heat (Const volume)
C_P	Specific Value (Const price)	Specific Heat (Const pressure)
n	Elastic Index	Index Expansion/Compression
γ **(=C_P/C_V)**	Isentropic Index	Isentropic Index
Q	Entropic Value flow	Heat Supplied/lost
W	Work Value flow	Work Done
U	Internal Value (stock value)	Internal Energy
u (=U/N)	Internal Value per unit	Internal Energy per unit
ψ	Equilibrium Constant	Equilibrium Constant
ξ	Lifetime Ratio	n.a.
ω	Value Capacity Coefficient C_v/k	C_v/k

INDEX

Active, 92-94
Anderson, 170
Annila, 5,111
Arrhenius, 94
Avogadro, 6, 22, 60
Ayres, 2, 3, 20, 22, 60,178, 210
Bacon, 170
Baron, 108
Baumgärtner, 3
Boltzmann, 7, 11, 38, 39
Bonds and gilts, 165-177
Brekke, 210
Bryant, 2, 4, 91
Candeal, 3, 81
Carnot, 114
Carriers, holders of value, 8
Chakrabarti, 3,38
Chakraborti, 3, 38
Chatterjee, 3, 38
Chen, 3
Climate change, 200-209
Club of Rome, 209
Cobb-Douglas, 82, 88
Constant price, 67-69
Constant volume, 63-66
Constrained maximisation, 2
Constraints, 102-104
Costanza, 2
Cycle, 113-117
Daly, 3
Darwin, 211
Degree of freedom, 65
Degree of value, 65
Distribution of income, 37-39
Domingos, 3, 81
Dragulescu, 3, 38
Economic entropy, 101-103, 140-151, 156-157, 162-163, 165, 171-177, 193-197, 201
Econophysics, 3, 33
Eco-system, 200-209
Elastic index, 70, 73, 77-79
Embodied value, 8, 13, 20
Emission intensity, 202-207
Energy capital stock, 180-181
Energy consumption per capita, 178-180
Energy intensity, 178-180
Energy resource substitution, 191-193
Entropic index, 76-77
Entropic value, 54
Entropy, 61-62
Entropy and maximisation, 108-113
Environmental waste stock, 48
Equilibrium, 94-104
Equilibrium constant, 98-99
Estrella & Trubin, 169
Eyring, 100
Exergy, 20-21
Ferrero, 3, 38
Frederick, Loewenstein, O'Donoghue, 173
First Law of Thermodynamics, 50-59
Fisher, 2
Flow and non-flow, 6-7
Foley, 3, 81
Free value, 94-96
Gamma distribution, 39
Gas/chemical reactions, 90
Georgescu-Roegan, 1, 2
Gibbs, 2, 94
Goldberg, 4
Gompertz, 107
Greene, 108
Grubbeström, 3
Hannon, 2

Hansen, 140
Helmholtz free energy, 94
Herwig, 5, 110
Hicks, 88, 140
Hicks-neutral technical progress, 88
Hubbert, 185
Ideal gas, 6
Ideal economic equation, 9-14
Ideal gas equation, 6, 14
Inactive, 92-94
Index of trading value, 8-9
Interest rates, 140-151
Internal energy, 50
Internal value, 50-53
IPCC, 200-207
IS/LM model, 140
Isentropic process, 74
Iso-trading process, 69-71
Joule cycle, 115
Kay, 4, 89
Keynes, Keynesian, 119, 140
Kinetic theory of gases, 6
Klein, 37
Labour and unemployment, 152-159
Law of diminishing marginal utility, 82
Law of maximum entropy production, 111
Le Chatelier Principle, 2, 3, 4, 91
Leontief, 25
Lifetime, 19, 57
Limits to growth, 2, 107
Liquidity preference, 141, 168
Lisman, 2
Logistic function, 107
Lognormal distribution, 37
Long & short dated bonds, 155-177
Loschmidt, 6
Lotka-Volterra model, 197
Mackay, 198
Malthus, 209
Mahulikar, 5, 110
Marginal entropic ratio, 85
Martinás, 3, 113
Marx, 2
Maxwell Boltzmann Distribution, 38-39
Meadows, 2, 107, 209
Miguel, 81
Mirowski, 3
Monetarist school, 119
Monetary stock model, 31
Money, 118-151
Money elasticity, 121-133
Money entropy, 133-151
Morganstern, 108
Nelson & Siegel, 170
Neo-classical economics, 211
Non-renewable energy, 183-191
Odum, 2
OPEC, 1
Open and closed systems, 7
Otto cycle, 115
Patriarca, 3
Peak oil, 185
Phillips curve 154-155
Pikler, 2, 9
Polytropic process, 71-74, 129-133
Population, 198-200
Preferred habitat, 168
Productive content, 7-8, 24
Proops, 3
Pure expectations, 168
Purica, 3, 38
Quantity theory, 118
Rankine cycle, 115
Reaction kinetics, 104-107
Renewable resources, 46, 191-193
Resource depletion, 183-198
Resource stocks, 46
Reversible & irreversible processes, 51-53
Ruth, 4
Salthe, 5, 111

Samuelson, 2, 9, 89
Schandl, 22
Schneider, 4, 89
Schrödinger, 4, 89
Second Law of Thermodynamics, 59-62
Sigmoid, 107
Smith, 3, 81
Soddy, 2
Söllner, 3
Solow, 88
Sousa, 3, 81
Specific heat, 57-64
Specific internal value, 56
Specific money, 122-130
Specific price, 122-130
Specific value, 57
Specific value constant volume, 64-66
Specific value constant price, 67
Specific volume rate, 19
Steady state economics, 3
Sustainability, 210-214
Svensson, 170
Swenson, 111
Temperature, 6-7, 56
Thermodynamic theory of economics, 4
Thermo-economics of resources, 193-198
Thermoeconomics and sustainability, 210-214
Turner, 209
Unemployment, 152-159
Utility, 81-87
Value capacity coefficient, 65, 67, 75-79
Value rate, 43
Van del Waal, 6
Verhulst equation, 107, 194, 199
Von Neumann, 108
Velocity of circulation, 9, 33, 118-133
Wage rate, 35-36, 152-159
Waggoner, 170
Wall, 22
Warr, 3, 22, 60, 178
Work done, 50-59, 96
Yakovenko, 3, 38
Yield, yield spread, 165-177
Yuqing, 3, 38